

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	PATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,772	03/30/2001		Beat Mollet	88265-4011	6428	
7590 02/10/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Allan A. Fanucci WINSTON & STRAWN				HUTSON, R	HUTSON, RICHARD G	
200 Park Avenue				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10166				1652		
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/823,772	MOLLET ET AL.
, at 100. y 7.00.01	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard G Hutson	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Clot of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the marker was status of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the marker was status of the shortened status of t	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): See Continuation Sheet	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. Solution For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows); :	
Claim(s) allowed:	•	
Claim(s) objected to:	•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-8 and 15-20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem		
10. Other:	(a), (a)	DATA
		hell the

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment of claim 15 would result in further consideration and a 112 second paragraph rejection as applicants deletion of "the gene product" would result in the second recitation of "product" being unclear.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112 second paragraph rejection over "the ywfl gene" has been withdrawn.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the remaining rejection of record remain in light of the non-entr of applicants amendment and applicants comments. Specifically applicants traversal of the rejections of claims 1-6 and 8 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph for lack of written descriptionand enablement are maintained because applicants have not described but a few species of the infinite number of ways of rendering the ywfl gene non-functional, especially in light of the unknown function of the ywfl gene product. Further applicants statement regarding the microorganism deposit and its availbility is not complete as per 35 USC 112 1st paragraph in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-1.809.